Ambivalences," offers a compelling autoethnographically inflected engagement with the affective materiality of capitalist consumption by discussing the consequences of using Instagram to research peoples' relationships to nameplates. Nameplates, Rosa-Salas describes, are "a form of personalized adornment that features a name or a word crafted from precious or semi-precious metals to be worn as a necklace, ring, earring, bracelet, or belt buckle." Rosa-Salas discusses how her and her collaborator Isabel Flower's use of Instagram to collect a cultural biography of the nameplate was a powerful "way of sharing research about cultural phenomena" outside of the academy. Yet, Rosa-Salas argues that using Instagram for research purposes reveals how social media platforms, even when harnessed toward collective knowing and participation, have a tendency to "reify limiting notions of ethnic and racial identity and encourage the commodification of knowledge production." The resulting project, Documenting the Nameplate, sits in the ambivalence that comes with this recognition that consumer culture and social-mediaenabled participatory methods are not replacements for our deeper commitments to material forms of racial and economic justice.

Sometimes the political stakes could not be higher for professors who not only study digital technologies but also face the racist backlash of the white supremacist "outrage machine." In "Digital Infrastructures of the Internet Outrage Machine: An Autoethnography of Targeted Faculty Attacks," Mariam Durrani writes about her painful experiences being targeted by right-wing hate groups, such as Campus Watch. Drawing from two personal incidents of digital surveillance and targeted harassment, she explains how hate organizations use decontextualized keywords, automated search bots, and manufactured archives to pressure scholaractivists, especially Muslim scholars whose work is explicitly antiracist, into silence. Durrani's work also demonstrates how learning how to use digital tools can help us resist these

means of racist surveillance. For example, Durrani used automated Google Alerts to track her mentions and, as a result, was able to figure out the digital techniques that the white supremacist "outrage machine" was using against her. But even as she resolved to speak out against these forms of digital harassment, Durrani reminds us that it comes with a cost. Telling such stories, and maintaining a commitment to "feminist killjoy anthropology" (Durrani 2019), as she has characterized her work elsewhere, requires new writing strategies that "balance ... vulnerability ... with an almost detached voice as a mechanism to cushion what it means to write about still open wounds in public." Durrani's work is a reminder that those who are targeted must bear the emotional cost of this violence while also doing the public and political labor that is mostly left unrecognized in the conventional academy.

Together these essays exemplify what we have described as a killjoy commitment and anthropological ambivalence (Astacio Alvarez, Dattatreyan, and Shankar 2021). We hope you will learn from them as much as we have and draw from the insights of these brilliant scholars as you imagine what it means to be an anthropologist committed to working with digital technologies in ways that recognize and resist the neocolonial supremacist systems exacerbated by technocapitalism.

NOTE

1. See tajeninteractive.com.

REFERENCES CITED

Astacio Alvarez, Patricia, Gabriel Dattatreyan, and Arjun Shankar. 2021. "Multimodal Ambivalence: A Manifesto for Producing in S@!#t Times" American Anthropologist 123 (2). https://doi.org/10.1111/aman.13565.

Durrani, Mariam. 2019. "Anthropology Is Political" *Anthropology News* website, June 6. https://doi.org/10.1111/AN.1183.

SPECIAL SECTION: RACE, THE DIGITAL, AND ANTHROPOLOGY Essay

Multimodal Extractivism

Dada Docot Durdue University

Like academics, autocratic regimes deploy multimodal tools developed out of the endless pursuit of knowledge. The case of the Philippines under Rodrigo Duterte exposes the deadly repercussions of the intersections of histories of resource

and labor extraction, foreign intervention, capitalism, experimentation, and technoscience, including the continued dehumanization of postcolonial/colonized peoples. Two years after Facebook rolled out its free internet campaign in the Philippines as a way of expanding its consumer database in a developing market, "a dictator was elected" (Swearingen 2018). Within days of Duterte's election to office, mutilated



FIGURE 1. Screenshot of Philippine president Rodrigo Duterte showing the press a drug matrix akin to an anthropological kinship chart. Visit https: //youtu.be/zZzdwNkZIrk to watch the full video. [This figure appears in color in the online issue]

and duct-taped bodies of suspected drug addicts began appearing on the streets of Manila, with placards warning the public: "drug user, don't copy." Spectacularizing death worked to heighten fear and suppress dissent. Global news outlets reported 8,000 deaths in Duterte's war on drugs within five months of his inauguration as president in 2016. The police chief has a mascot made in his likeness, which appears at gift-giving parties for the drug war orphans. Duterte uses "drug matrices," akin to anthropological kinship charts, for mapping drug operations networks used to justify extrajudicial killings and the incarceration of political opponents (Figure 1).

In a context where technology and communications infrastructure are lacking, ready-to-use platforms such as Facebook become part of the multimedia apparatus inundated with bots and paid trolls. The Duterte government uses memes, viral videos of dancing police officers, and jingles to distribute fake news, incite fear, target the opposition, and shape popular opinion. The multimodality that the Duterte regime and its loyalists deploy includes state propaganda in the realms of the two-dimensional, digital, viral, and performative. From this growing list, Duterte enforces his agenda with a "total lack of restraint, a great delight too in getting really dirty" (Mbembe 2001, 108). Local elites divide and rule with violence and impunity using new tools, mirroring the power dynamics from the colonial era. The power and affordability of multimodality carve out a state apparatus, touted by anthropologists today as brimming with potential.

Thus, the multimodality that many anthropologists applaud for its usefulness and promise also stifles lives. Multimodalities that do not seriously engage with how violence, inequality, racialization, and injustice are perpetuated dangerously reproduce colonialist adventurism and obsessions with charting the unknown and rendering the Other readable and exploitable. Retaining awe of the expanding anthropological tool kit while neglecting selfcriticism about our privilege and complicity loops us back to the problems concerning representation within extractive knowledge production, this time magnified by the high-tech capacities of our new tools.

I do not wish to be a killjoy, as there are, of course, undoubtedly many things to like about multimodality. It pushes anthropologists to think about how our discipline should move forward amid a world surrounded by media and how we might engage in this new environment and its pressing problems in ways that challenge disciplinary conventions (Dattatreyan and Shankar 2016). Multimodal anthropologies recognize the "intensified dynamism" in how anthropologists work in the field and with communities, as they open space for producing work beyond the usual expectations (Collins, Durington, and Gill 2017). Multimodality also unlocks possibilities for bringing sensitivities into creative, inventive, and experimental engagements that would "contribute to enacting new entities, new relations, new worlds" (Dattatreyan and Marrero-Guillamón 2019, 221).

However, the experience of the Philippines resonates with recent critiques of multimodality, which raise concerns about the uncritical uptake of the range of tools and platforms subsumed within the multimodal label and "can just as easily reinforce existing power structures by making recourse to techno-fetishism or by dressing up neocolonial practices of extraction, inclusion, and appropriation in new language" (Takaragawa et al. 2019, 518). Takaragawa et al. call for troubling multimodality to include a reflection of anthropological positionality and privilege. For them, critical to multimodal anthropologies is the recognition that the technologies that make up our tools are made possible by the "labor and loss of others" (520). Our awe of endless possibilities in multimodality shrouds conversations about the blood and tears encoded in our tools. What methods and tools would we deploy if we were to consider Anand Pandian's words that reconciling that we live in a "decaying present" may move us to be satisfied with the tools that we have rather than hope to "perfect . . . lives by accumulating more" (Pandian and Zhang 2021)? Even obsolete tools such as an 8 mm film camera can be recycled to reflect on issues such as racialized surveillance and to unpack debates surrounding anthropological knowledge production that would otherwise be summarily identified as "complex" (Docot 2019). The obsession with new modes trumps reflection on privileged curiosities that are gratified by adventurism and revelation.

Tajen: Interactive is a multimodal project directed by Robert Lemelson on the "ancient bloodsport of Balinese cockfighting" that is undoubtedly impressive in scale. The project includes a website with fifteen supplemental films, links to text and critique of Clifford Geertz's classic piece on the Balinese cockfight, and a discussion guide, allowing for multiple forms of audience engagement. The thirty-minute film that is part of the project captures Bali's sights with arresting cinematography and is accompanied by quiet yet crisp sound recording that builds up the right amount of suspense, leading to the cockfight scene. Lemelson explains that he had read Geertz's piece in his undergraduate years, but Geertz's thick description was insufficient to visualize the scenes. Through Tajen, Lemelson wanted to "expose students to the sights, sounds, and other sensory data of the cockfight itself" (Lemelson and Young 2018, 831). His team produced the project guided by a "structural sensorial approach" (Lemelson and Young 2018, 832)—a curious method that wants to capture the senses neatly amid a growing scholarship that argues for an attention to messiness (Manalansan 2014).

The latest camera technology allowed for the extreme close-up shots of the Balinese men's brown skin and fractured nails as they tame their fighting cocks and forge gaffs. The camera zooms in on the contours, lines, pores, cracks, and even wounds on the Balinese men's skin, too disturbingly intimate, especially as the characters on the screen were left unnamed, without significant speaking lines. If articulating sensoriality is the project's goal, it was quite effective in building an atmosphere that Dorinne Kondo (2018) calls "affective violence"—a discomfort that audiences of color feel when witnessing shared racialization onscreen. In the film Tajen, as well as in the broader multimodal project, there was no reflection on the privilege, power, and positionality that made possible the launch of an "intellectual investigation" (Lemelson and Young 2018, 842) that evokes tired stereotypes about colonized peoples as broken and flawed with brutal vices. Tajen's stated target audience for its project includes those in the classroom, at film festivals, and at museums (Tucker 2018), but not the Balinese themselves, who became unwittingly enlisted by the anthropological gaze for consumption elsewhere. Learning from Kondo, I offer this critique as potentially "a step toward the reparative" at a moment when anthropology is increasingly reckoning with its extractivism, the effects of which reverberate until today.

Frantz Fanon (2004) tells us about the usefulness of paying close attention to the formation of imagination and inventiveness, which manifest in modes as ordinary as songs and tales. The storyteller, Fanon tells us, "responds to the expectations of the people by trial and error and searches for new models . . . apparently on his own, but in fact with the support of his audience" (174–75). Following Fanon, the ordinary (versus the macabre, grotesque, and spectacular) are themes that could inspire and make up the storyteller's work, or in this case, the anthropologist's work. In imagining multimodal projects, the people whose lives appear in our work must be our first audiences. What would our aesthetic-political choices look like if we were to gaze among our own?

Respond and Break the Silence Against the Killings (RESBAK) is a community of artists, scholars, community workers, activists, and extrajudicial-killing-victims' families raising critical awareness of Duterte's war on drugs. It was among the first groups that acted to break the culture of silence surrounding the Duterte regime. In 2016, RES-BAK launched with a videoke titled Christmas in our Hearts (Reloaded), which appropriates a famous holiday song in the Philippines by replacing the original lyrics with a critical commentary on the rising instances of extrajudicial killings in the Philippines while retaining the song's tune (Figure 2). In the videoke, members of poor urban communities, which were the main stage for extrajudicial killings, held placards bearing the revised song lyrics. RESBAK takes from various cultural influences of the Philippines' former colonizers— American protest rock, particularly Bob Dylan's Subterranean Homesick Blues, and borrowings from Japanese pop culture in the form of karaoke—and mobilizes them in stirring political consciousness as weapons of protest. Through a videoke that invites its viewers to break the silence by singing a reimagined holiday pop song, RESBAK recruits audiences as protesters singing lyrics of dissent. Those who identify with the cause can sing along. In the meantime, RESBAK "moves on the ground" as a "collaborative platform" (Arumpac 2020, 129), producing zines, viral videos, short films, protest banners, memes, signature campaigns, effigies, entrepreneurial projects, academic talks, and healing workshops for those widowed and orphaned by the war—all addressing local and international audiences to break the silence about Duterte's war. A common thread in RESBAK's collaborative practice is the shared awareness that art and knowledge could be radically playful, multimodal, and interdisciplinary, but also emphatic and reparative. There needs to be care in not retraumatizing audiences through affective violence.

Tajen and the RESBAK videoke open space for thinking about the intentions and political stakes of our work. Anthropologists need to deeply reflect on the consequences of multimodal invention and revelation. Putting our fancy tool kit aside is also a legitimate option, which might give us the time to imagine a better future. Ethnic studies, for example, has long ago understood that capital was accumulated through acts of violence; that capitalism shapes discourses about individualism, freedom, and liberalism;



FIGURE 2. Screenshot of the Respond and Break the Silence Against the Killings (RESBAK) videoke project. Visit https://vimeo.com/196708085 to watch the full videoke. [This figure appears in color in the online issue]

and that academic engagement needs to be reframed in light of the groundbreaking work by Indigenous scholars and activists (Melamed 2015, 76). RESBAK directs us to a model of knowledge production that addresses the public first. RESBAK agitates toward justice with playfulness and thoughtfulness, raising awareness about the deadliness of silence and complicity. Anthropologists more often take away than give back, as we subscribe to the demands of productivity of the capitalist academia that upholds ideologies about individualism, merit, and free will—discourses that serve as a powerful justification for the disposability and surplussing of racialized postcolonial/colonized peoples globally.

NOTES

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to Arjun Shankar, Gabriel Dattatreyan, and Patricia Alvarez Astacio, for inviting me to participate in this conversation. I am thankful for the kind edits of this essay by Sean Mallin, Xavier Gravides, and Deyan Denchev. Shout out to my coworkers at the Purdue Asian American and Asian Resource and Cultural Center (AAARCC) Virtual Writing Group, especially Pamela K. Sari, Teilhard Paradela, and Tricia Okada, who made writing joyful despite the challenges of the pandemic. Finally, I am deeply grateful to the artists and collaborators at Respond and Break the Silence Against the Killings (RESBAK) for their important work during these turbulent times. To support the advocacy and projects of RESBAK, I invite readers to please visit https://www.facebook.com/artistsresbak/.

1. See www.tajeninteractive.com.

REFERENCES CITED

Arumpac, Adjani. 2020. "Regenerative Documentary: Posthuman Art in the Context of the Philippine Drug War." *Plaridel* 17 (1): 111–42.

Collins, Samuel Gerald, Matthew S. Durington, and Harjant Gill. 2017. "Multimodality: An Invitation." *American Anthropologist* 119 (1): 142–53.

Dattatreyan, Ethiraj Gabriel, and Arjun I. Shankar. 2016. "Multi-modal Ethnography and the Possibilities for Engaged Anthropology." *Anthropology News* 57 (10): e76–80.

Dattatreyan, Ethiraj Gabriel, and Isaac Marrero-Guillamón. 2019. "Introduction: Multimodal Anthropology and the Politics of Invention." *American Anthropologist* 121 (1): 220–28.

Docot, Dada. 2019. "Taking the Long Route: Ethnographic Metacommentary as Method in the Anthropological Film Practice." *Current Anthropology* 60 (6): 774–95.

Fanon, Frantz. 2004. The Wretched of the Earth. *Translated by Richard Philcox*. New York: Grove Press.

Kondo, Dorinne K. 2018. Worldmaking: Race, Performance, and the Work of Creativity. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Lemelson, Robert, and Briana Young. 2018. "The Balinese Cockfight Reimagined: Tajen: Interactive and the Prospects for a Multimodal Anthropology." *American Anthropologist* 120 (4): 831–43.

Manalansan, Martin F., IV. 2014. "The 'Stuff' of Archives: Mess, Migration, and Queer Lives." *Radical History Review* 2014 (120): 94–107.

Mbembe, Achille. 2001. On the Postcolony. Berkeley: University of California Press.

Melamed, Jodi. 2015. "Racial Capitalism." *Critical Ethnic Studies* 1 (1): 76–85.

Pandian, Anand, and Ollie Zhang. 2021. "Contrapuntal Storytelling: If Not Growth, Then What?" CTM Festival Magazine, January. https://www.ctm-festival.de/magazine/contrapuntal-storytelling.

Respond and Break the Silence Against the Killings. 2016. *Christmas In Our Hearts (Reloaded)*. https://fb.watch/3T15s76sSR/.

Swearingen, Jake. 2018. "Facebook Used the Philippines to Test Free Internet. Then a Dictator Was Elected." New York Magazine, September 4. http://nymag.com/intelligencer/2018/09/how-facebooks-free-internet-helped-elect-a-dictator.html.

Takaragawa, Stephanie, Trudi Lynn Smith, Kate Hennessy, Patricia Alvarez Astacio, Jenny Chio, Coleman Nye, and Shalini Shankar. 2019. "Bad Habitus: Anthropology in the Age of the Multimodal." American Anthropologist 121 (2): 517–24. Tucker, Annie. 2018. "Using Multimodality to Provide Holistic Context and Promote Engaged Learning in Tajen: Interactive."

American Anthropologist website, December 6. http://www.americananthropologist.org/2018/12/06/tajen-interactive/.

SPECIAL SECTION: RACE, THE DIGITAL, AND ANTHROPOLOGY Essay

A Sense of Momentary Presence: Using Instagram to Document Consumer Culture's Ambivalences

Marcel Rosa-Salas

University of Illinois at Chicago

The Slauson Super Mall is one of Los Angeles's famed "swap meets," a prime destination for those in search of discounted prices on anything from tacos to twenty-inch tires. Of everything on offer, the jewelry stands out. Throughout the mall's vast, stripped-down warehouse space are glimmers of momentary radiance, where large gold necklace pendants pinned onto black velveteen display trays spelling out names like Lupe, Marquisha, and Kendrick gleam in overhead fluorescent lights (Figure 1). Jewelry-cleaning machines that emit burly plumes of gray steam hiss over a cacophony of Spanish, Korean, and English conversations between vendors and customers awaiting their custom pieces in lines that snake alongside the booths.

During one of my trips to Slauson in 2019, I approached a tall man carrying a toddler on his hip who was wearing a gold pendant spelling the word "Thriller" in a font of letters that appeared to drip in blood (Figure 2). He told me he got it to commemorate his triumph over a childhood fear: the dancing corpses from Michael Jackson's music video for the song "Thriller." The necklace was an amulet of sorts, marking his transition into adulthood and reminding him that he could face any challenge put in his path.

The story of the man in the "Thriller" chain is one among hundreds that comprise *Documenting the Nameplate*, a forthcoming book coedited by me and journalist Isabel Flower. Culled from commissioned, archival, and personal photography, along with written testimonies crowdsourced at live events and on the social media platform Instagram, *Documenting the Nameplate* is a "cultural biography" (Kopytoff 1986) of these adornment objects that charts how people enlist material culture in the stories they tell about their life stories, identities, and personal relationships.

Nameplates are a form of personalized adornment that feature a name or a word crafted from precious or semiprecious metals to be worn as a necklace, ring, earring, bracelet, or belt buckle. Although antecedents to nameplate jewelry date back several centuries and span several geographies and ethnic communities (Rosa-Salas and Flower 2017, 2020), this material cultural phenomenon is best known for its prominence in early American hip-hop fashion. During the 1970s and into the 1980s, as late capitalist austerity tore through neighborhoods and displaced entire communities, MCs, b-boys, and graffiti artists wore their personal and crew monikers as gilded medallions of visibility and social affiliation.

Fashion-oriented consumption, like nameplate jewelry, was one realm wherein the performance of selfhood, community, and economic stability could be worn for all to see: three-dimensional pendants with names engraved in bubbly cursive fonts framed by majestic underlines, effervescent hearts, and nostalgic cartoon characters (Figure 3). With their scintillating visuality, nameplate jewelry defies the staid sumptuary codes of what might be considered to be "professional" Western attire, and as such, tend to be siphoned through racialized and classed aesthetic judgments of taste where they are considered to be tacky, excessive, or "too much": "too sexy, too ethnic, too young, too cheap, too loud" (Hernandez 2020, 12). Nameplate jewelry in this design tradition insists that the nameplate, the name, and its wearer are seen, materializing the values of a capitalist culture where individuality is considered just as prized a possession as gold.

Isabel and I decided to embark upon *Documenting the Nameplate* in part as an autoethnographic reflection on our own "life with things" (Chin 2016). As a child, the nameplate necklace was one of those commodity fetishes that I was fully enraptured by, convinced that wearing my name around my neck could fulfill my longing for self-actualization in the Brooklyn neighborhood where I grew up. Many of my peers received these items in celebration of a number of coming-of-age rites: Holy communions and bat mitzvahs,